EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

Page 1 of 2

DOCKET NO.: 2008-0039-PWS-E **TCEQ ID:** RN102676129 **CASE NO.:** 35172

RESPONDENT NAME: Jack Neely dba Heights Water Company

ORDER TYPE:				
X 1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING		
FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	_SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER		
AMENDED ORDER	EMERGENCY ORDER			
CASE TYPE:				
AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE		
X PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION		
WATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL		
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION		
SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: Heights Water Company, 14397 Farm-to-Market Road 2767, Tyler, Smith County TYPE OF OPERATION: Public water supply SMALL BUSINESS:X_YesNo OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location. INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter. COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Register comment period expired on May 19, 2008. No comments were received. CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST: TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator: None TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Mr. Epifanio Villarreal, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 2, MC R-13, (210) 403-4033; Mr. Bryan Sinclair, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-2171 Respondent: Mr. Jack Neely, Owner, Heights Water Company, 14397 Farm-to-Market Road 2767, Tyler, Texas 75705 Respondent's Attorney: Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter				

RESPONDENT NAME: Jack Neely dba Heights Water Company DOCKET NO.: 2008-0039-PWS-E

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART: CORRECTIVE ACTIONS **VIOLATION INFORMATION** PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS HAMBOCS VORFER BRIDER TAKEN/REQUIRED **Ordering Provisions:** Type of Investigation: Total Assessed: \$392 ___ Complaint ___ Routine The Order will require the Respondent to: **Total Deferred: \$0** Enforcement Follow-up Expedited Settlement X Records Review a. Within 365 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, return to compliance Financial Inability to Pay with the running annual average MCL for Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this TTHM; and Case: None SEP Conditional Offset: \$0 b. Within 380 days after the effective date Date of Investigation Relating to this Total Paid (Due) to General Revenue: \$192 of this Agreed Order, submit written Case: December 8, 2007 (remaining \$200 due in 2 monthly payments of certification and include detailed \$100 each) Date of NOV/NOE Relating to this Case: supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records December 10, 2007 (NOE) **Site Compliance History Classification** to demonstrate compliance with Ordering ___ High ___ Average __ Poor Provision a. Background Facts: This was a routine record review. **Person Compliance History Classification** __ High ___ Average __ Poor WATER Major Source: ___ Yes _X_ No Failed to comply with the maximum contaminant level ("MCL") of 0.080 Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002 milligrams per liter ("mg/L") for total trihalomethanes ("TTHM"), based on a running annual average [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.113(f)(4) and Tex. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 341.0315(c)].

Additional ID No(s).: PWS 2120051

Policy Revision 2 (Sept	Penalty Calculati	on Worksheet (P	CW) PCW Revision No	vember 6, 2007
TCEQ				
DATES Assigned PCW	2-Jan-2008 Screening 4-Jan-2008	B EPA Due 1-Jan-2008		
RESPONDENT/FACILITY	INFORMATION	were the second		7
	Jack Neely dba Heights Water Company			–
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.				1
Facility/Site Region		Major/Minor Source	Minor	
CASE INFORMATION				
Enf./Case ID No.	35172	No. of Violations	1	1
Docket No.	2008-0039-PWS-E	Order Type		-
	Public Water Supply		Epifanio Villarreal	7
Multi-Media			Enforcement Team 2	
Admin. Penalty \$ L	_imit Minimum \$50 Maximum	\$1,000		
	Penalty Calcu	lation Section		
TOTAL BASE PENAL	TY (Sum of violation base penal	ties)	Subtotal 1	\$250
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) Subtotals 2-7 are obtain	TO SUBTOTAL 1 ned by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1)	by the indicated percentage.		1
Compliance Histo	CONTRACTOR		otals 2, 3, & 7	\$80
	The penalty enhancement is due to tw			
Notes	("NOVs") containing violations that are			
110.00	violations in the current enforcement act			
	one prior enforcement order conta	aining denial of liability.		
Culpability	Yes 25	% Enhancement	Subtotal 4	\$62
	The Respondent was issued alert lette	ers for total trihalomethane	70- 7 - 7-00-00 000-000-000-000-000-000-	
Notes	("TTHM") exceedances on August 1 December 20, 2006, and	9, 2004, March 23, 2005,		***************************************
Good Faith Effort	to Comply 0 Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Off	% Reduction	Subtotal 5	\$0
Extraordinary	Belove NOV 10 EB: 11 700 months on	. .		
Ordinary				
N/A	X (mark with x)		7	***************************************
Notes	The Respondent does not meet	the good faith criteria.		
		% Enhancement*	Subtotal 6	\$0
Approx. 0		ped at the Total EB \$ Amount		T -
SUM OF SUBTOTALS	\$1-7		Final Subtotal	\$392
	S JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE ubtotal by the indicated percentage.	0%	Adjustment	\$0
Treduces of enhances the final of	ubiotal by the indicated percentage.		1	
Notes	n de la companya de La companya de la co			
•		Final Pe	nalty Amount	\$392
STATUTORY LIMIT A	DJUSTMENT	Final Asse	essed Penalty	\$392
	TUTT TO OUR TOUR. A COUNTY MATERIAL CARTER BOOK TO THE PROPERTY OF A PROGRESS AND A PROCESSION.	Social Company of the	25 (3.50 (4.50 (2.50 (2.50 (4	
DEFERRAL Reduces the Final Assessed Pen	alty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only;	e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)	Adjustment	\$0
Notes	No deferral offered due	to culpability.		
and the second section of the			The company is the section of the Park	
PAYABLE PENALTY				\$392

Scree	ning Date 4-Jan-2008 Docket No. 2008-0039-PWS-E		
	spondent Jack Neely dba Heights Water Company	Policy Re	evision 2 (Se
	se ID No. 35172	PCW	Revision No
Media	Corrections of Market Supply [Statute] Public Water Supply ordinator Epifanio Villarreal	graphic section of the section of th	
	Compliance History Worksheet y Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)		Adinat
Component		Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	2	10%
<u> </u>	Other written NOVs	1.00.01.00	2%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	1	20%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission		0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government		0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	. 0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)		0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
	Plea	se Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	, No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
Culci	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
	Adjustment P	ercentage (Sเ	ıbtotal 2
t Violator (Sı	ıbtotal 3)	32 2	
N/A	Adjustment P	ercentage (Su	ıbtotal 3
L. 140 (1.70 (1.80 (1.90 (1.70	y Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		. Jest a
N/	Adjustment P	ercentage (Sเ	ıbtotal 7

same as or similar to the violations in the current enforcement action, one dissimilar NOV, and one prior

enforcement order containing denial of liability.

History

Notes

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7)

Respondent Jack Neely does Heights Water Company Case ID No. 5172 Reg. Ent. Reference No. S172 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102678129 Modia [Statute] Public Water Supply Enf. Coordinator Epifanio Villarreal Violation Number Rule Cite(s) Falled to comply with the maximum contaminant level ("MCL") of 6.090 millignams per titler ("mCL") of 10 millignams per	Screening I	Date 4-Jan-2008	Docket No	. 2008-0039-PWS-E	PCW
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102678129 Modia [Statute] Public Water Supply Enf. Coordinator Epifanic Viliarreal Violation Number Rule Cite(s) Rule Cite(s) Falled to comply with the maximum contaminant level ("MCL") of 0.080 milligrams per liter ("mpl.") for TTHM, based on a running amount average. Specifically, the running amount average concentrations for TTHM were Disk mgl. for the first quarter of 2007 and 0.086 mgl. for the second quarter of 2007. Base Penalty \$1,000 >> Environmental. Property and Human Health Matrix Harm Actual Release Major Modernite Minor Percent 25% >> Programmatic Matrix Falsication Major Modernate Minor Percent 0% Matrix Notes Adjustment 5750 \$250 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 1 181 Number of violation days dially majority sensionus 3	Respon	dent Jack Neely dba H	eights Water Company	Polic	/ Revision 2 (September 2002)
Media (Statute) Public Water Supply Enf. Coordinator Epifanio Villareal Table Tabl				PC	W Revision November 6, 2007
Enf. Coordinator Epfario Villameal Violation Number Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 290.113(f)(4) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 341.0315(c) Failed to comply with the maximum contaminant level ("MCL") of 0.080 milligrams per lifer ("mg/L") of TTHM, based on a running annual average. Specifically, the running annual average concentrations for TTHM were 0.086 mg/l. for first quarter of 2007 Base Penalty \$1,000 Statutory Limit Test Failed to comply with the maximum contaminant level ("MCL") of 0.080 milligrams per lifer ("mg/L") of 0.080 milligrams per lifer first quarter of 2007 Base Penalty \$1,000 Statutory Limit Test Failed to comply with the maximum contaminant level ("MCL") of 0.080 milligrams per lifer first quarter of 2007 Base Penalty \$1,000 Statutory Limit Test Safe Penalty Safe					
Violation Number 1 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 290.113(f)(4) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 341.0315(c)	and the control of th		bly		
Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 290.113(f)(4) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 341.0315(c) Failed to comply with the maximum contaminant level ("MCL") of 0.080 milligrams per lifter ("mg/L") for TTHM, based on a running annual average. Specifically, the running annual average contentrations for TTHM were 0.096 mg/l. for the first quarter of 2007 and 0.086 mg/l. for the second quarter of 2007. Base Penalty \$1,000 >>Environmental, Property and Human Health: Matrix Release Major Moderate Minor					
Violation Description Failed to comply with the maximum contaminant level ("MCL") of 0.080 milligrams per little ("mg/L") for TTHM, based on a running annual average. Specifically, the running annual average concentrations for TTHM were 0.086 mg/L for the first quarter of 2007 and 0.086 mg/L for the second quarter of 2007. Base Penalty \$1,000 **Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix* **Harm Moderate Minor* **Percent 25%* **Programmatic Matrix* Falsification Major Moderate Minor* **Percent 0%* **Percent 0%* **Adjustment \$750 **Specification amounts of contaminants that did not exceed levels that are protective of human health. **Adjustment \$750 **Violation Events* Number of Violation Events 1 181 Number of violation days **Gail's monthly avairable with a recommended. **One annual event is recommended.** **Contaminants Total Estimated EB Amount \$875 **Violation Final Penalty Total \$392	Violation Nu	mber 1			=
Violation Description annual average concentrations for TTHM were 0.086 mg/L for the first quarter of 2007 and 0.086 mg/L for the second quarter of 2007. Base Penalty \$1,000 Secondary and Human Health Matrix Harm Release Major Moderate Minor Actual X Potential Percent 25% Percent 0% Percent 0% Matrix Exceeding the MCL for TTHM exposed customers of the water supply to significant amounts of contaminants that did not exceed levels that are protective of human health. Notes Violation Events 1 181 Number of violation days daily monthly monthly monthly semiaminual activity semiaminual act	Rule C	30 Tex. Admin.	Code § 290.113(f)(4) and Tex. He	alth & Safety Code § 341.0315(c)	
OR Release Major Moderate Minor Actual Potential Percent 25% >>Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Fa	Violation Descri	ntion liter ("mg/L") for I	THM, based on a running annual oncentrations for TTHM were 0.08	average. Specifically, the runnin 6 mg/L for the first quarter of 200	g
Release Major Moderate Minor Actual X Potential Potential Percent 25% >> Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0% Matrix Notes Exceeding the MCL for TTHM exposed customers of the water supply to significant amounts of contaminants that did not exceed levels that are protective of human health. Adjustment \$750 \$250 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 1 181 Number of violation days daily monthly quarterly quarterly quarterly single event \$250 One annual event is recommended. Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$875 Violation Final Penalty Total \$392				Base Penal	ty \$1,000
Release Major Moderate Minor Actual X Potential Potential Percent 25% >> Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0% Matrix Notes Exceeding the MCL for TTHM exposed customers of the water supply to significant amounts of contaminants that did not exceed levels that are protective of human health. Adjustment \$750 \$250 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 1 181 Number of violation days daily monthly quarterly quarterly quarterly single event \$250 One annual event is recommended. Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$875 Violation Final Penalty Total \$392	>> Environmental, Prope	erty and Human Hea	lth Matrix		***
OR Actual X Potential X Percent 25% >> Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0% Matrix Notes Exceeding the MCL for TTHM exposed customers of the water supply to significant amounts of contaminants that did not exceed levels that are protective of human health. Adjustment \$750 \$250 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 1 181 Number of violation days daily monthly quarterry with an x smallanual x single event		ŀ	larm	•	
Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0% Matrix Exceeding the MCL for TTHM exposed customers of the water supply to significant amounts of contaminants that did not exceed levels that are protective of human health. Adjustment \$750 \$250 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 1 181 Number of violation days daily monthly monthly semianual annual x single event Single event One annual event is recommended. Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$875 Violation Final Penalty Total \$392	The state of the s				
Seconomic Benefit (EB) for this violation Sexpectation Major Moderate Minor Major Moderate Minor Percent 0%			<u> </u>	Percent 259/	***
Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent	FOU	ential		Percent 25%	observed and the second
Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent	>>Programmatic Matrix		Baranshira Baran		*concentration
Matrix Notes Exceeding the MCL for TTHM exposed customers of the water supply to significant amounts of contaminants that did not exceed levels that are protective of human health. Adjustment \$750 \$250 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 1		ation Major Mo	oderate Minor		·
Notes Contaminants that did not exceed levels that are protective of human health. Adjustment \$750				Percent 0%	
Notes Contaminants that did not exceed levels that are protective of human health. Adjustment \$750			-		
\$250 Violation Events 1	The state of the s				
\$250 Violation Events 1				Adjustment \$7	501
Number of Violation Events		E 100 E		Aujustinent	501
Number of Violation Events 1 181 Number of violation days daily					\$250
Number of Violation Events 1 181 Number of violation days daily					
daily monthly quarterly semiannual annual x single event One annual event is recommended. Consider the semiannual event is recommended. Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$875 Violation Final Penalty Total \$392	Violation Events			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
daily monthly quarterly semiannual annual x single event One annual event is recommended. Consider the semiannual event is recommended. Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$875 Violation Final Penalty Total \$392	Number	of Violation Events	1 181	Number of violation days	
mark only one with an x mark only one with an x monthly quarterly semiannual annual x single event	Number	Of Violation Everito		Indiffice of Violation days	
Mark only one with an x Quarterly semiannual annual x single event One annual event is recommended.		daily			
with an x semiannual		monthly			
Annual x single event One annual event is recommended. Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$875 Violation Final Penalty Total \$392				Violation Base Penal	ty \$250
One annual event is recommended. Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Estimated EB Amount \$875 Violation Final Penalty Total \$392	. With air	comamadi			99-4-100-au
One annual event is recommended. Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$875 Violation Final Penalty Total \$392			X	•	· delication
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$875 Violation Final Penalty Total \$392		Single event			E-980-0-000-0
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$875 Violation Final Penalty Total \$392					
Estimated EB Amount \$875 Violation Final Penalty Total \$392			One annual event is recommended	ad. 4	.
Estimated EB Amount \$875 Violation Final Penalty Total \$392					
	Economic Benefit (EB) fo	or this violation		Statutory Limit Test	
	Fefi	mated FB Amount	\$875	Violation Final Penalty Tot	al \$392
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$392	Loui	matou Es Amount			
			This violation Final Asse	ssed Penalty (adjusted for limit	s) \$392

	Ė	conomic l	3enefit W	orks	heet	4 2 20	Section 1
	Jack Neely dba	a Heights Water Co	Notice Contract to History and Contract	C 100 A PO C 003			#24000 : 22500 COA-#2000 COA
Case ID No.	6				3 - 35 - 4	Contract of the	* 1 # 3
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	6				1.3	(Table 1 and 1 an	of substant
	Public Water S	upply			•	Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	1	To Desirable and Administration (Administration Desiration Communication		de la constanta			Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commae or \$	PERMIT A SE	9100 F 048 24	het this			
	I NO COMINAS OF W			S CONTRACTOR			*60 (8.0 %)[9860][1.00
Delayed Costs			Single of the second	186.77		(April 1995)	Ask at Max
Equipment	Mark St. A. Pari	Read Street	AITE, CAREELING	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings	Harty Target	ustranic, a poli	10 - Angel I was 1 - Angel I w	0,0	\$ 0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$5,000	1-Jan-2007	1-Jul-2009	2,5	\$42	\$833	\$875
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$O	\$0	\$0
Land .				0.0	\$O	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	1-11-2-11-2		uni si si na fisika ne en nevel nega en sy ne e sue re	0.0	\$O	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$O	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs			2.71.71.	0.0	\$ 0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	14179 1 11 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	S. Longer Man Grant V. E. Like	e r to	0.0	\$ 0	n/a	\$0.
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	popular de la companya de la company	day of	noncompliance to	the esti	mated date of con	disinfection, calculat pliance. one-time avoided c	127
Disposal	74 Z 74 Z 1			0.0	\$O	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$O	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	O www. Orienway and	2 1 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	4.2.19.	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$ 0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]	7			0.0	\$ O	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]		Control of the Contro		0.0	\$ O	\$0	\$0
	II ayawaataan baxaa ka			0.0	\$ O	\$0	
Other (as needed)							\$0
Other (as needed)		is wire the rise	grida kar a keciba	112			\$0
i de la secono	1000 T			12 P		n (17 a.s	11/12/11/11/11/11/11/11/11
Other (as needed) Notes for AVOIDED costs	19 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3			2 2 1 4 4 3 3 4 4 5 7		rie za je	11/12/11/11/11/11/11/11/11

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:

CN601358674

NEELY, JACK

Classification:

Rating:

Regulated Entity:

RN102676129

HEIGHTS WATER CO

Classification:

Site Rating:

ID Number(s):

PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM/SUPPLY

REGISTRATION

2120051

WATER LICENSING

LICENSE

2120051

Location:

14397 FM 2767, TYLER, TX, SMITH COUNTY

TCEQ Region:

REGION 05 - TYLER

Date Compliance History Prepared:

January 03, 2008

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:

Enforcement

Compliance Period:

January 03, 2003 to January 03, 2008

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name:

Epi Villarreal

Phone:

(210) 403-4033

Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

Yes

2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period?

No

3. If Yes, who is the current owner?

N/A

4. if Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)?

N/A

5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur?

N/A

Components (Multimedia) for the Site:

Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government.

Effective Date: 08/23/2007

ADMINORDER 2006-2256-PWS-E

Classification: Moderate

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter D 290.46(f)(3)(E)(iv)

Description: Failed to to maintain copies of customer service inspection reports that can be made available to

Commission personnel at the time of investigations.

Classification: Moderate

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter D 290.45(b)(1)(C)(iii)

5A THC Chapter 341, SubChapter A 341.0315(c)

Description: Failed to provide two or more service pumps having a total capacity of 2.0 gallons per minute

("gpm") per connection at each pump station or pressure plane.

Classification: Minor

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter D 290.42(I)

Description: Failed to compile and maintain a facility plant operations manual for operator review and

reference.

Classification: Minor

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter D 290.43(d)(3)

Description: Failed to equip the air compressor line with a filter or other device to prevent compressor

lubricants and other contaminants from entering the pressure tank.

Classification: Minor

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.110(c)(5)(A)

Description: Failed to monitor the disinfectant residual at representative locations throughout the distribution

system at least once every seven days.

Classification: Minor

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.121(b)

Description: Failed to maintain an up-to-date chemical and microbiological monitoring plan for the facility that

identifies all locations where routine sampling for microbial contaminants will be conducted.

Classification: Minor

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter D 290.46(n)(2)

Description: Failed to maintain on file and make available an up-to-date map of the distribution system so that

valves and mains may be easily located during emergencies.

Classification: Minor

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290,110(e)(4) Citation:

Description: Failed to submit a Disinfectant Level Quarterly Operating Report ("DLQOR") to the Commission

each quarter by the tenth day of the month following the end of the quarter.

Classification: Minor

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter D 290.41(c)(1)(F)

Description: Failed to provide a sanitary control easements or an approved exception to the easement

requirement that covers the land within 150 feet of the water system's two wells.

В. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.

Chronic excessive emissions events.

The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) D.

1 04/28/2003

(34135)

2 11/30/2006

(519325)

3 05/16/2007 4 09/28/2007 (610893)

5 12/17/2007

(611006)(611823)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

Date:

05/04/2003

(34135)

Self Report?

NO

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter D 290.46(f)(3)(E)(iv)

Description:

Failed to to maintain copies of customer service inspection reports that can be made

available to Commission personnel at the time of investigations.

Self Report?

NO

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter D 290.45(b)(1)(C)(iii) 5A THC Chapter 341, SubChapter A 341.0315(c)

Description:

Failed to provide two or more service pumps having a total capacity of 2.0 gallons per

minute ("gpm") per connection at each pump station or pressure plane.

Self Report?

Classification:

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter D 290.46(f)(3)(D)(ii)

Description:

Failure to maintain the tank inspection records. The results of these inspections must be recorded and maintained for at least five years, per §290.46(f)(3)(D)(ii). The records must be available for review by Commission staff during annual sanitary

surveys of the system.

Self Report?

NC

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter D 290.46(f)

Description:

Failure to maintain the system flush records. Monthly Reports of Water Works Operation must be compiled regularly each month and kept on file for commission review for at least 2 years. The reports must be completed in ink, typed, or computer-

printed and must be signed by the certified operator.

Date:

05/16/2007

(610893)

Self Report?

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.113(f)(4)

Description:

Violated the maximum contaminant level for Trihalomethanes during the first quarter

of 2007.

Date:

09/28/2007

(611006)

Self Report?

Classification:

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter F 290.113(f)(4)

Description:

Violated the maximum contaminant level for Trihalomethanes during the second

quarter of 2007.

F.

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).
 N/A
 H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

NI/A

N/F

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

à.

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	
JACK NEELY DBA HEIGHTS	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
WATER COMPANY	§	
RN102676129	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2008-0039-PWS-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its ______ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Jack Neely dba Heights Water Company ("the Respondent") under the authority of TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ch. 341. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a public water supply at 14397 Farm-to-Market Road 2767 in Tyler, Smith County, Texas (the "Facility") that has approximately 86 service connections and serves at least 25 people per day for at least 60 days per year.
- 2. The Commission and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 3. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about December 15, 2007.
- 4. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 5. An administrative penalty in the amount of Three Hundred Ninety-Two Dollars (\$392) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid One Hundred Ninety-Two Dollars (\$192) of the administrative penalty. The remaining amount of Two Hundred Dollars (\$200) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in two monthly payments of One Hundred Dollars (\$100) each. The next monthly payment shall

be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondent to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

- 6. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 7. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the Respondent have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 8. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 9. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 10. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have failed to comply with the maximum contaminant level ("MCL") of 0.080 milligrams per liter ("mg/L") for total trihalomethanes ("TTHM"), based on a running annual average, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.113(f)(4) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 341.0315(c), as documented during a record review conducted on December 8, 2007.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 5 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations, which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Jack Neely dba Heights Water Company, Docket No. 2008-0039-PWS-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 365 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, return to compliance with the running annual average MCL for TTHM, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.113.
 - b. Within 380 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision 2.a. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Jack Neely dba Heights Water Company DOCKET NO. 2008-0039-PWS-E Page 4

DBP Compliance Coordinator Water Supply Division/PDWS, MC 155 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 5. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 6. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
- 7. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

Section 19 (1997) And the control of t

the second of the second

Authorized Representative of

Jack Neely dba Heights Water Company

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission		
For the Executive Director	7/30/2008 Date	
attached Agreed Order on behalf of the	stand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terther acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for such representation.	rms
 timely pay the penalty amount, may result A negative impact on compliance Greater scrutiny of any permit agone Referral of this case to the Attorney fees, on a line of the li	e history; oplications submitted; orney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, addition to a collection agency; enforcement actions; ey General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and	
Lord Neely Signature 5ALK NEE (4)	3-3-08 Date	
Name (Printed or typed)	Title	

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.